UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,979	03/30/2004	Scott Sibbett	21058/0206803-US0	8637
75172 Client 21058	7590 05/07/2008		EXAMINER	
c/o DARBY & P.O. BOX 770	DARBY P.C.		NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN	
	EET STATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10008-0770	1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,979	SIBBETT, SCOTT	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i heter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)		ected claims.	
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·	,	,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-11 and 13-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) 🛛 will	•	-
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:			
	/Alex Noguerola/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to paragraph [0018] of the specification raises issues of new matter because it would substantially change the original description of how the electroosmotic pump is to operate. First, the proposed amendment makes no reference to "convective pumping" and thus does not help clarify what convective pumping is, which is claimed directly in at least independent claims 11 and 19. Second, the proposed amendment would no longer have the electroosmotic pump rely on the equation of continuity for determining how pressure is to be generated. Third, most surprisingly, the proposed amendment has positive hydrostatic presure created while in the original passage negative pressure was created. Last, it is not readily apparent that substituting reducing the zeta potential of the wall for suppressing electroosmotic flow is not new matter, which the proposed amendment replaces, as the original specification does not disclose how electroosmosis is to be suppressed. Even if Applicant could establish that the proposed amendment is not new matter and does enable the claimed invention, it would require new searching of the claims since a new method of operation and means for conducting such operation would be in place in the disclosure. For at least these reasons the proposed amendment will not be entered and the claim rejections will be maintained.